

Minutes
Senate Scholastic Standards Committee
January 15, 2008
(Approved 1/29/08)

Members: (attending members in bold): **Andrew Moiseff (Chair)**, **John Bennett**, **Francine DeFranco**, Gerald Dunne, **Gerald Gianutsos**, **Lynne Goodstein**, Lawrence Gramling, Katrina Higgins, Dirk Keaton, Jose Machado, **Diane Lillo-Martin**, **Jeffrey von Munkwitz-Smith**, Yuhang Rong, Lauren Smith, **David Wagner**, Robert Weiner

1. The Nov. 28, 2007 minutes were approved
2. Our recommendation for reorganization of INTD review process was discussed. We will add some comments that point out some practical details that will need to be considered before implementation, including: making sure that there is adequate staff support for the UICC, evaluating the workload of the faculty Chairperson, defining the 'entity' responsible for academic issues as well as the entity responsible for proofing the catalog copy, and the need to work directly with SEC and Senate C&CC to establish a formal authority for them to review UNIV courses.
The committee conditionally approved presentation of our recommendations at the upcoming Senate meeting, pending their opportunity to review the final document. A revised proposal will be circulated among SSSC members by Email.
3. Information item: Our committee presents its annual report at the upcoming Senate meeting
4. Update: FSC/SSC TLA Task Force Report. The SEC will be consulted to determine how we should follow up the report.
5. Update: Timeline for completing the revision of the Academic Misconduct Procedures. Our goal is to present the proposal to revise the academic misconduct procedures at the February meeting of the Senate. The next two SSC meetings will concentrate solely on these procedures so that we can meet this self-imposed deadline.
6. We have been asked to review the procedures used by the DOS to evaluate student requests to reschedule final exams. We will request that DOS Lee Williams meet with us to discuss the faculty concerns. Concern has been expressed that although guidelines illustrating appropriate and inappropriate reasons to grant permission to reschedule exams, the guidelines do not appear to have been followed in certain cases. In addition, how can the faculty member have input into the process of granting students permission to reschedule their exam?